

D.C. w/ Summons To
Hugo Pollack, Flinders, D.C. & 1)
B.B.O.
U.S.D.C. (CIVIL
U.S. COURT HOUSE
1 COURT HOUSE WAY Rm. 2300
BOSTON, MASS. (02210)

CASE - 04-CV-11588 RWZ

MARGETTA LANGLOIS pro se.

ATTORNEY - MICHAEL HUGO
SAMUEL POLLACK
AND ALBERT FLINDERS -

RE: MOTION I. Discovery - from ALL 3 Def.

A) Proof of "ORIGINAL FORMS."
To Dow Corning - 1) MANUFACTURER FORM
2) RUPTURE FORM
3) EXPLANATION FORM
4) DISEASE FORM

Received w/ DATES STAMPED by D.C.I.T.S.F.

B) Discovery why "ORIGINALS"
WERE NEVER SENT IN TO D.C. w/
11/03 LETTER FROM DOW CORNING

2)

To HUGO, POLLOCK + FLANDERS (ONLY)
 & WHY Client MARGETTA LANGLOIS
 WAS NEVER NOTIFIED OF LETTER 11/03
 REQUESTING "ORIGINAL" FORMS "NEEDED"
 W/ MEDICAL + ALL EVID TO COMPLETE
 CASE AS IT WAS BY PLAINTIFF/
 EX-CLIENT MARGETTA LANGLOIS
 MAY 14-04 - JULY 16-04

C). PROOF OF HUGO POLLOCK + FLANDERS
 UPDATED ADDRESS OF Client/Plaintiff
 FROM 9/25/99 - TO 4/14/04 WHEN
 FIRED

D). TO MAKE CASE "COMPLETE" FOR
 PAYMENT JUNE 1 - 04 -
 AS OTHER'S GOT BECAUSE IF
 CASE WAS "COMPLETED" BY NOV. 3'S LETTER,
 PLAINTIFF WOULD HAVE RECEIVED
 HER MONIES + ATTORNEY WOULD
 HAVE NEVER BEEN FIRED.

E). WHY DID FIRM HUGO, POLLOCK +
 FLANDERS BREAK UP 2 WKS BEFORE
 APRIL 1, NOTICE CASES BEING PAID OUT -
 ALSO WERE OTHER CLIENTS CASES FIRED PROPERLY.

F). PROOF OF CALLS TO PLAINTIFF REVIEWING

3)

STATUS of HER CASE OVER 12 YRS.
OLD + NOTHING SENT INTO
DOW CORNING SETTLEMENT TRUST
FACILITY. —

PHONE # my Cell + only phone
SINCE 10/00 - (2000.)

(727) 514.3957
ALL CALLS from PLAINTIFF
I IGNORED — + NEVER
RETURNED —

1) my file WAS SENT TO me (PLAINTIFF)
5/1/04 - w/ ORIGINAL FORMS STILL
NEVER SENT TO DOW CORNING TRUST
SETTLEMENT FACILITY —

2) w/ 11/03 LETTER REQUESTING ORIGINAL
FORMS — NOT COPIES —

3) w/ MY MEDICAL NEVER SENT INTO D.C.
w/ PROOF of SERIAL #s ON IMPLANTS.

4) FORMS —
A) FOR Rupture \$25,000.
B) FOR EXPLANATION \$5000. BADLY
NEEDED OPERATION SINCE 1992.
w/ PICTURES of RASH —
C) MANUFACTURER LABELS w/ SERIAL NUMBERS

H)

D. Disease form — *up to \$300,000.

ALL 3 DEFENDANTS HAVE
 FAILED TO REPRESENT HER IN
 HER BEST INTERESTS + FAILED
 LAST YR. TO FILE HER CASE, AND MEDICAL
 + ORIGINAL FORMS FOR PAYMENT AS OF
JUNE 2004 IN A TIMELY FASHION
 BEFORE SICKED

5 mo. AFTER RECEIVING
 11/03 LETTER FROM DOWNCROWNING TO
 FILE PLAINTIFF'S (EX CLIENT'S)
 ORIGINAL FORMS —

THEFORE PLAINTIFF ASKS
 THAT THE COURT FIND ALL 3 DEFENDANTS
 "GUILTY OF" "GROSS NEGLIGENCE OF
 INEFFECTIVE COUNSEL MALICE
 MAJ PRACTICE + OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE
 FOR PLAINTIFF TO COLLECT ALL
 MONIES DUE HER + FINAL
 JUDGEMENT BE FOUND IN FAVOR
 OF PLAINTIFF FOR \$300,000, PLUS
 TRIPLE DAMAGES — ON EA.

5)

WITNESS: FEE FOR: EXPENSES OF

TRAVEL of
DOW CORNING HELEN DAVIS TO
up to 5/1/04. TESTIFY CASE, WAS "INCOMPLETE"
7/16/04 AND IGNORED by 3 DEFENDANTS
w/ PROOF of LETTER ASKING
for "ORIGINAL FORMS IN NOV. 03"
+ TO TESTIFY w/ LAWYERS ON
CASE PLAINTIFF WAS NEVER
TOLD of 11/03 LETTER TO ATTORNEY —

UNTIL 5/1/04 WHEN ATTORNEYS
WERE FIRED + PLAINTIFF RECEIVED FILE.
THAT WAS THE FIRST PLAINTIFF
SAW + FOUND OUT ABOUT CASE —
"ORIGINAL FORMS NEEDED" —

MOTION: II. MOTION TO DISMISS
ALL ILLEGAL LIENS ON
(DOW CORNING CASE) ON
PLAINTIFF'S CASE DUE TO DISCRIMINATION,
GROSS NEGLIGENCE of FINESTHEINE
COUNSEL — MALPRACTICE + FAILURE TO
REPRESENT CLIENT IN HER BEST INTEREST,
+ OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE FOR EX-CLIENT
TO COLLECT MONIES DUE HER LEGALITY.

6

Respectfully submitted,
 Mayetta Anjois
 8/12/04 - prose

C.C. Court
 C.C. B.D.O. Susan Weisberg ^{UNDER INVESTIGATION}
 Re: Hugo Pollack & Flanders
 C.C. D.C.S.T.F. HELEN DAVIS for file-
 THIS IS AN "ILLEGAL"
Lien AND A BAD CASE

OF "MALPRACTICE" &
NEGLIGENCE ON ALL 3

DEFENDANTS.
 Motion III

DEFENDANTS TO PROVIDE WHO
 THEY TALKED TO AT DON CORNELL
 Facility - AS FAR AS "PAYMENT" WE ALL
 KNOW THIS "ILLEGAL" LIEN WILL
 HOLD UP PLAINTIFFS & NEEDS
REMOVAL OF CAPTURED LITIGANTS. 8/12/04